



# TAXATION AND CULTURAL HERITAGE

---

## PART 1

Financial, international and European legal framework

---

## PART 2

Selected national experiences in cultural heritage taxation

---

## PART 3

Topical issues of cultural heritage taxation

## Editors

*Lorenzo del Federico*  
*Sigríd Hemels*  
*José-Andrés Rozas*  
*Silvia Giorgi*





# Selectivity and the Arm's Length Principle in EU State Aid Law

## Why this book?

This book is the result of an in-depth, interdisciplinary and comparative study of the public financial regime of historical heritage. It gives an overview of legal frame - works and public policies for targeting tax incentives so as to finance and promote cultural heritage. It gives examples on how this can be done in a systematic and efficient way. It fills the existing gap in international literature on cultural heritage finance and taxation, bringing together experts from different parts of Europe and the world to discuss different approaches and strategies.

The book consists of three parts.

In **part 1**, financial, international and European frameworks are analysed, with specific reference to the guidelines and constraints stemming from the OECD, UNESCO and the European Union.

In **part 2**, selected national experiences in cultural heritage taxation are analysed in order to identify the best practices in the sector. This part provides a comprehensive overview of the current state of the regulatory framework for cultural heritage in a significant number of countries around the world. It covers both the constitutional context and the taxation and public spending policies aimed at cultural heritage preservation and promotion.

In **part 3**, topical issues of cultural heritage taxation are discussed, including the VAT regime for artwork, museum taxation, free ports for art, transfer in lieu, art cities and artists' tax regimes. The book ends with a conclusion in which the most important findings in the three parts are analysed, compared and summarized.

There are many and diverse financial initiatives around the world aimed at protecting, preserving and enhancing the cultural heritage of humanity. While the focus is usually on direct subsidies, this book focuses on tax incentives and the regulatory context in which they function. This study, promoted by Professor Lorenzo del Federico at the University of Chieti – Pescara, offers a rich overview of these initiatives.

<b>Title:</b>	Taxation and Cultural Heritage
<b>Editor(s):</b>	Lorenzo del Federico et al.
<b>Date of publication:</b>	March 2022
<b>ISBN:</b>	978-90-8722-744-9 (print), 978-90-8722-745-6 (ePub), 978-90-8722-746-3 (PDF)
<b>Type of publication:</b>	Book
<b>Number of pages:</b>	452
<b>Terms:</b>	Shipping fees apply. Shipping information is available on our website
<b>Price (print/online):</b>	EUR 140 / USD 170 (VAT excl.)
<b>Price (eBook: ePub or PDF):</b>	EUR 112 / USD 136 (VAT excl.)

## Order information

To order the book, please visit [www.ibfd.org/IBFD-Products/shop](http://www.ibfd.org/IBFD-Products/shop). You can purchase a copy of the book by means of your credit card, or on the basis of an invoice. Our books encompass a wide variety of topics, and are available in one or more of the following formats:

- IBFD Print books
- IBFD eBooks – downloadable on a variety of electronic devices
- IBFD Online books – accessible online through the IBFD Tax Research Platform



IBFD

*Visitors' address:*  
Rietlandpark 301  
1019 DW Amsterdam  
The Netherlands

*Postal address:*  
P.O. Box 20237  
1000 HE Amsterdam  
The Netherlands

Telephone: 31-20-554 0100  
Email: [info@ibfd.org](mailto:info@ibfd.org)  
[www.ibfd.org](http://www.ibfd.org)

© 2021 IBFD

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the written prior permission of the publisher. Applications for permission to reproduce all or part of this publication should be directed to: [permissions@ibfd.org](mailto:permissions@ibfd.org).

### **Disclaimer**

This publication has been carefully compiled by IBFD and/or its author, but no representation is made or warranty given (either express or implied) as to the completeness or accuracy of the information it contains. IBFD and/or the author are not liable for the information in this publication or any decision or consequence based on the use of it. IBFD and/or the author will not be liable for any direct or consequential damages arising from the use of the information contained in this publication. However, IBFD will be liable for damages that are the result of an intentional act (*opzet*) or gross negligence (*grove schuld*) on IBFD's part. In no event shall IBFD's total liability exceed the price of the ordered product. The information contained in this publication is not intended to be an advice on any particular matter. No subscriber or other reader should act on the basis of any matter contained in this publication without considering appropriate professional advice.

Where photocopying of parts of this publication is permitted under article 16B of the 1912 Copyright Act jo. the Decree of 20 June 1974, Stb. 351, as amended by the Decree of 23 August 1985, Stb. 471, and article 17 of the 1912 Copyright Act, legally due fees must be paid to Stichting Reprorecht (P.O. Box 882, 1180 AW Amstelveen). Where the use of parts of this publication for the purpose of anthologies, readers and other compilations (article 16 of the 1912 Copyright Act) is concerned, one should address the publisher.

ISBN 978-90-8722-744-9 (print)  
ISBN 978-90-8722-745-6 (eBook, ePub); 978-90-8722-746-3 (eBook, PDF)  
NUR 826

---

## Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 1: Introduction</b>	1
<i>Lorenzo del Federico, Sigrid Hemels, José-Andrés Rozas and Silvia Giorgi</i>	
<b>Chapter 2: Public Finance and Tax Measures for Cultural Heritage: Issues, Methodologies and Guidelines</b>	7
<i>Lorenzo del Federico</i>	
2.1. Themes, problems and objectives	7
2.2. The reasons why states finance cultural heritage	11
2.3. The policies of the European Union	14
2.3.1. From the prohibition to modernization of State aid	17
2.4. The role of UNESCO and its current crisis	20
2.5. Comparative analysis	22
Part 1 International and European Legal Framework	
<b>Chapter 3: The OECD Guidelines and Their Impact on National Policies for Cultural Heritage</b>	27
<i>Anna Mignosa</i>	
Summary	27
3.1. Introduction	27
3.2. Public intervention for culture – The cultural economics perspective	28
3.3. Policy transfer	31
3.4. The notion of culture	33
3.5. The OECD – A brief introduction	34
3.6. The OECD and cultural heritage	36
3.7. Conclusion	44
<b>Chapter 4: The Funding of World Cultural Heritage by UNESCO</b>	47
<i>Marc Bourgeois, Yassin Hachlaf and Antoine Vandenbulke</i>	
4.1. Introduction	47
4.2. Internal organization and financial resources	48

4.3.	Direct funding from UNESCO	52
4.3.1.	Inclusion on the World Heritage List	52
4.3.2.	International assistance	56
4.3.3.	Protection of world heritage in danger	58
4.4.	Involvement of the private sector: The case of public-private partnerships	59
4.5.	Conclusion	62
<b>Chapter 5: Financing the Protection of Cultural Heritage in the European Union: The Legal Framework for Tax and Non-Tax Instruments</b>		67
<i>Edoardo Traversa</i>		
5.1.	Introduction	67
5.2.	EU competences in the area of culture and the protection of cultural heritage	70
5.3.	The principles of the EU framework for action on cultural heritage	73
5.4.	EU direct and indirect funding and tax incentives for private financing: The ambiguous EU legal framework	76
5.5.	Future perspectives: Common cultural heritage, common institutions, common taxes?	85
<b>Chapter 6: European Ties to Tax Policies and the State Aid System</b>		87
<i>Marta Villar Ezcurra</i>		
6.1.	Introduction: Culture, EU law and tax policy	87
6.1.1.	Defining culture: Legal transplants and the autonomy of EU law	89
6.2.	EU State aid policy	90
6.2.1.	The notion of State aid	91
6.2.1.1.	When is there an economic activity?	93
6.2.1.2.	When is there an effect on trade?	94
6.2.1.3.	Where to draw the line? Situations in which there is no State aid	95
6.2.2.	The need to notify as a general rule	98
6.2.3.	The General Block Exemption Regulation	99
6.2.4.	The exceptional flexibility in the COVID-19 crisis	101
6.3.	Conclusion	103

<b>Chapter 7: The Public Funding of Culture: The Uncertain Boundary between State Aid and Non-State Aid</b>	105
<i>Carlo Eugenio Baldi</i>	
7.1. Introduction	105
7.2. From the <i>Leipzig-Halle</i> judgment to Regulation 651/2014	109
7.3. The Commission Notice on the notion of State aid and culture as economic activity	111
7.3.1. The effects on competition and trade between Member States	112
7.4. Conclusion	116
<b>Chapter 8: Cultural Heritage, Taxation and Constitutional Traditions Common to EU Member States: Exploring the Scope of Article 167 of the TFEU</b>	117
<i>Ricardo García Antón</i>	
8.1. Introduction	117
8.2. A twofold constitutional dimension of culture	118
8.3. The national tax measures to preserve cultural sites: Criticisms of the state dimension of cultural heritage	121
8.4. Towards a people-centred approach to preserving cultural heritage: An EU proposal to enforce cultural rights	124
8.4.1. The need for a specific tax regime to engage communities in preserving cultural heritage	125
8.4.2. The European Union's role in fostering a community-centred approach to preserving cultural heritage	127
8.5. Conclusion	130
<b>Chapter 9: International Tax Treaties and Cultural Heritage</b>	133
<i>Sigrid Hemels</i>	
9.1. Introduction	133
9.2. OECD Model	138
9.2.1. Scope of DTCs: Residence	138
9.2.2. Special tax regimes	139
9.2.3. Limitation-on-benefits clauses	140
9.2.4. No mutual recognition, notwithstanding the non-discrimination article	141
9.2.5. Conclusion on the position of cultural heritage charities under treaties based on the OECD Model	142

9.3.	UN Model	142
9.4.	US Model	143
	9.4.1. Scope: Residence	143
	9.4.2. Special tax regimes	144
	9.4.3. Limitations on benefits	145
	9.4.4. Non-discrimination and reciprocal exemption of charities	145
	9.4.5. Donors to charities	146
9.5.	OECD Model on taxes on estates, inheritance and gifts	148
	9.5.1. Commentary on the OECD EIGMC	148
	9.5.2. Examples of gift and inheritance treaties referring to charities	150
9.6.	US Estate and Gift Model Convention	153
9.7.	Conclusion	154

Part 2  
National Experiences

**Chapter 10: The US Experience** 161  
*Janet E. Milne*

10.1.	Introduction	161
	10.1.1. Federal context	161
	10.1.2. State and local context	162
	10.1.3. Tax context	163
10.2.	Systemic framework	164
	10.2.1. Tax incentives for cultural heritage owners	164
	10.2.1.1. Tax deduction for donations of full title to property	164
	10.2.1.2. Tax deduction for donations of a partial interest in real property	165
	10.2.1.3. Tax credit for rehabilitation of historic structures	167
	10.2.1.4. Relief from local property taxes	168
	10.2.2. Tax incentives for patrons	168
	10.2.3. Tax incentives for museums and other non-profit organizations	169
	10.2.4. Tax incentives for art cities	169
10.3.	Tax incentives for attracting international investors	170
10.4.	Best practices	170
	10.4.1. The importance of the charitable deduction	170



10.4.2. Reliance on non-tax experts	171
10.4.3. The intricacies of the deduction for conservation easements	172
10.5. Conclusion	174
<b>Chapter 11: The French Experience</b>	<b>177</b>
<i>Alexandre Maitrot de la Motte</i>	
11.1. Introduction	177
11.2. Systematic framework	179
11.2.1. Tax incentives for cultural heritage owners	182
11.2.2. Tax incentives for patrons	184
11.2.3. Tax incentives for museums and non-profits	185
11.2.4. Tax incentives for art cities	186
11.3. Tax incentives for attracting international investors	188
11.4. Best practices	190
11.5. Conclusion	192
<b>Chapter 12: The Italian Experience</b>	<b>193</b>
<i>Roberto Cordeiro Guerra</i>	
12.1. Introduction	193
12.2. Systematic framework	195
12.2.1. Tax incentives for cultural heritage owners	198
12.2.1.1. A deduction for the maintenance expenses of historical-artistic movable or immovable assets (articles 15 and 100 of the TUIR) incurred by those obliged to maintain such assets	198
12.2.1.2. Reductions in income tax for owners of historical-artistic property (articles 37 and 90 of the TUIR)	199
12.2.1.3. Reductions in property taxes for owners of real estate of historical-artistic interest (article 1(747) of Law no. 160/2019)	199
12.2.1.4. Exemption from inheritance and gift tax (article 13 of Legislative Decree no. 346/1990) for assets expressly qualified as historical-artistic assets	200

12.2.1.5.	Reduction of more than half of the VAT rate for the importation and transfer of works of art by the artist (article 39 of Law Decree no. 41/1995)	200
12.2.1.6.	Possibility (to be implemented) to pay taxes “in kind” through historical-artistic goods (article 28-bis of Presidential Decree no. 602/1973 and article 39 of Legislative Decree no. 346/1990)	200
12.2.1.7.	Exemption from income tax for capital gains derived from stand-alone sales of artwork	202
12.2.2.	Tax incentives for patrons	203
12.2.2.1.	Deduction of gifts and liberal donations (articles 15 and 100 of the TUIR);	203
12.2.2.2.	Deduction of gifts and liberal donations in cash or in kind to entities whose purpose is the protection, promotion and enhancement of historical-artistic assets pursuant to the Code of Cultural Heritage (article 14 of Law Decree no. 35/2005)	204
12.2.2.3.	Special deduction in case of gifts and liberal donations to special entities qualifying as special or non-profit entities ( <i>enti del terzo settore</i> ) (article 83 of Legislative Decree no. 117/2017)	204
12.2.2.4.	Art bonus (article 1 of Law Decree no. 83/2014)	205
12.2.3.	Tax incentives for museums and non-profits	205
12.2.4.	Tax incentives for art cities	207
12.3.	Tax incentives for attracting international investors	208
12.4.	Best practices	208
12.5.	Conclusion	209
<b>Chapter 13:</b>	<b>The Spanish Experience</b>	<b>211</b>
	<i>Juan Enrique Varona Alabern</i>	
13.1.	Introduction	211
13.2.	Systematic framework	212
13.2.1.	Tax incentives for cultural heritage owners	213

13.2.2.	Tax incentives for patrons	215
13.2.3.	Tax incentives for museums and non-profits	218
13.2.4.	Tax incentives for art cities	221
13.3.	Tax incentives for attracting international investors	222
13.4.	Best practices	223
<b>Chapter 14: The German Experience</b>		227
<i>Christine Osterloh-Konrad</i>		
14.1.	Introduction	227
14.2.	Systematic framework	228
14.2.1.	Tax incentives for cultural heritage owners	230
	14.2.1.1. Income tax deductions and accelerated depreciation	230
	14.2.1.2. Exemption from property tax	232
	14.2.1.3. Special inheritance and gift tax treatment	232
14.2.2.	Tax incentives for patrons	233
14.2.3.	Tax incentives for museums and non-profit organizations	234
14.2.4.	Tax incentives for art cities	234
14.3.	Tax incentives for attracting international investors	235
14.4.	Best practices	236
<b>Chapter 15: The Austrian Experience</b>		239
<i>Sabine Kanduth-Kristen</i>		
15.1.	Introduction	239
15.2.	Systematic framework	240
15.2.1.	Tax incentives for cultural heritage owners	242
15.2.2.	Tax incentives for patrons	243
15.2.3.	Tax incentives for museums and non-profits	244
15.2.4.	Tax incentives for art cities	244
15.3.	Tax incentives for attracting international investors	245
15.4.	Best practices	245
15.5.	Conclusion	246
<b>Chapter 16: The Swedish Experience</b>		247
<i>Eleonor Kristoffersson, Cristina Trenta and Björn Westberg</i>		
16.1.	Introduction	247

16.2. Systematic framework	248
16.2.1. General legal framework	248
16.2.2. Tax incentives for cultural heritage owners	253
16.2.3. Tax incentives for patrons	254
16.2.4. Tax incentives for museums and non-profit organizations	255
16.2.5. Tax incentives for art cities	256
16.3. Tax incentives for international investors, and other European and international measures	257
16.4. Best practices	260
<b>Chapter 17: The Latin American Experience</b>	<b>263</b>
<i>Betty Andrade, Jonathan Barros Vita and José-Andrés Rozas</i>	
17.1. Introduction	263
17.2. Systematic framework	264
17.2.1. Tax incentives for cultural heritage owners	267
17.2.1.1. Argentina	267
17.2.1.2. Brazil	267
17.2.1.3. Colombia	267
17.2.1.4. Chile	268
17.2.1.5. Dominican Republic	268
17.2.1.6. Ecuador	269
17.2.1.7. El Salvador	269
17.2.1.8. Guatemala	269
17.2.1.9. Honduras	270
17.2.1.10. Mexico	270
17.2.1.11. Peru	270
17.2.1.12. Uruguay	271
17.2.2. Tax incentives for patrons	271
17.2.2.1. Brazil	274
17.2.2.2. Chile	275
17.2.2.3. Dominican Republic	276
17.2.2.4. Mexico	277
17.2.2.5. Argentina	278
17.2.2.6. Colombia	279
17.2.2.7. Ecuador	280
17.2.2.8. Costa Rica	281
17.2.2.9. Uruguay	281
17.2.2.10. Bolivia	281
17.2.2.11. Peru	282

17.2.2.12. Paraguay	282
17.2.2.13. Venezuela	282
17.2.3. Tax incentives for museums and non-profits	283
17.2.3.1. Argentina	284
17.2.3.2. Bolivia	284
17.2.3.3. Brazil	285
17.2.3.4. Guatemala	286
17.2.3.5. Peru	286
17.2.3.6. Venezuela	287
17.2.4. Tax incentives for art cities	287
17.2.4.1. Argentina	289
17.2.4.2. Brazil	290
17.2.4.3. Peru	291
17.3. Tax incentives for attracting international investors	291
17.4. Best practices	292
17.4.1. Promotion of sponsorships and sanctions for abuse in the use of incentives	292
17.4.2. Art city incentives	292
17.4.3. Cultural entities abroad	294

**Chapter 18: Taxation and Cultural Heritage: Focus on  
Selected Jurisdictions** 297  
*Menita Giusy De Flora*

18.1. Introduction	297
18.2. Systematic framework	298
18.2.1. Tax incentives for cultural heritage owners	299
18.2.1.1. The United Kingdom	299
18.2.1.2. Turkey	301
18.2.1.3. Portugal	303
18.2.1.4. Switzerland	304
18.2.2. Tax incentives for patrons	306
18.2.2.1. Portugal	306
18.2.2.2. The United Kingdom	308
18.2.2.3. Switzerland	308
18.2.2.4. Turkey	309
18.2.3. Tax incentives for museums and non-profits	310
18.2.3.1. Turkey	310
18.2.3.2. Switzerland	311
18.2.3.3. Portugal	311
18.2.3.4. The United Kingdom	312

18.2.4.	Tax incentives for art cities	314
18.2.4.1.	Portugal	315
18.2.4.2.	Turkey	315
18.2.4.3.	Switzerland	315
18.2.4.4.	The United Kingdom	316
18.3.	Tax incentives for attracting international investors	316
18.4.	Best practices	317
18.4.1.	United Kingdom	317
18.4.2.	Portugal	317

Part 3  
Topical Issues

**Chapter 19: VAT: The Unconventional Economic Nature of Works of Art** 321  
*Fabrice Pezet*

19.1.	Introduction	321
19.2.	A specific tax regime designed for cultural goods	322
19.2.1.	VAT incentives for culture	322
19.2.2.	Specific tax treatment designed for works of art	324
19.3.	The equivocal definition of a “work of art”	324
19.3.1.	A restrictive view	325
19.3.2.	The questionable use of quantitative criteria	326
19.4.	The paradoxical extension of the tax treatment of works of art	328
19.4.1.	The peculiar extension of the concept of “works of art”	328
19.4.2.	The extension of the tax treatment of works of art on the basis of fiscal neutrality	330
19.5.	Conclusion	332

**Chapter 20: Finance and Taxation for Museums** 333  
*Antonio Leo Tarasco and Silvia Giorgi*

20.1.	Tax incentives and financial self-maintenance: General profiles	333
20.2.	Museum systems: An overview	334
20.3.	Public museums and their tax regime	336
20.4.	Private museums tax regime	338
20.5.	VAT regime for museums	343
20.5.1.	Reduced VAT rates	347

20.6. Fundraising regime	349
20.6.1. A case study: Italian Art Bonus data compared to UK museum financial system – Debacle and best practice	353
20.7. Conclusion	356
<b>Chapter 21: Tools to Support Cultural Heritage between Public and Private: Tax Breaks for Cultural Patronage</b>	<b>357</b>
<i>Caterina Verrigni</i>	
21.1. Introduction	357
21.2. The tax benefits associated with cultural patronage: General profiles	360
21.3. Tax incentives for philanthropic cash donations	362
21.4. Some significant national experiences	363
21.4.1. United Kingdom	363
21.4.2. Germany	366
21.4.3. Australia	366
21.4.4. Chile	367
21.5. Conclusion	367
<b>Chapter 22: Free Ports for Art: Tax Implications</b>	<b>371</b>
<i>Francesco Montanari</i>	
22.1. Introduction	371
22.2. The new role of free ports in the art market	372
22.3. Tax benefits	374
22.4. Tax evasion, tax avoidance and money laundering	375
22.5. Final remarks	377
<b>Chapter 23: Paying Taxes with Cultural Heritage or Rather an Exemption upon Inheritance?</b>	<b>379</b>
<i>Sigrid Hemels</i>	
23.1. Introduction	379
23.2. Cultural heritage to which the incentive applies	381
23.3. Percentage of the value of the cultural heritage that is taken into account	383
23.4. Public display required?	383
23.5. Which taxes can be paid with cultural heritage?	385
23.6. Accountability	386

23.7. Use	387
23.8. Conclusion	390
<b>Chapter 24: Art Cities, Sustainable Tourism and Tax Measures</b>	<b>391</b>
<i>Loris Tosi and Ernesto-Marco Bagarotto</i>	
24.1. Art cities: Definitions and associated characteristic problems	391
24.2. Taxation in art cities and tourism tax	392
24.3. Idea of introducing an “entrance fee”	396
24.4. Venice: A case study	398
24.5. Conclusion	402
<b>Chapter 25: Tax Incentives for Artists and Contemporary Art</b>	<b>405</b>
<i>Dick Molenaar</i>	
25.1. Introduction	405
25.2. Ireland: Exemption from income tax for artists	406
25.3. Belgium: Special tax scheme for copyright income	408
25.4. Mexico: Artists can pay taxes with their artwork	409
25.5. Stimulus for the US contemporary art market	410
25.6. Allocation of taxing rights under bilateral tax treaties	412
25.6.1. Taxation in two states: Bilateral tax treaties	412
25.6.2. Article 7 on business profits	412
25.6.3. Tax advantage and less administration	413
25.6.4. Exemption in the source state necessary	413
25.6.5. PE in the source state	413
25.6.6. Article 12 on copyright	413
25.6.7. Article 15 on employees	414
25.6.8. Article 17 on entertainers and sportspersons	414
25.7. Conclusion	414
<b>Chapter 26: Conclusion</b>	<b>417</b>
<i>Sigrid Hemels and José-Andrés Rozas</i>	
26.1. Introduction	417
26.2. Public finance and tax measures for cultural heritage: Issues, methodology and guidelines	417
26.3. Economic rationale behind cultural heritage policies	418
26.4. Policy diffusion by international organizations	418
26.5. State aid limitations in the European Union	419
26.6. Constitutions and tax treaties	420



---

26.7. National experiences	421
26.7.1. United States	424
26.7.2. France	424
26.7.3. Italy	424
26.7.4. Spain	425
26.7.5. Germany	425
26.7.6. Austria	426
26.7.7. Sweden	426
26.7.8. Latin America	426
26.7.9. Turkey	427
26.7.10. Portugal	427
26.7.11. Switzerland	427
26.7.12. United Kingdom	427
26.8. General takeaways from the national experiences	428
26.8.1. Tax incentives for cultural heritage owners	428
26.8.2. Tax incentives for patronage	429
26.8.3. Tax incentives for museums	430
26.8.4. Tax incentives for art cities	430
26.8.5. Tax incentives for attracting international investors	430
26.9. Specific topics	430
26.9.1. VAT regime for works of art and cultural activities	431
26.9.2. Tax incentives for museums and cultural patronage	431
26.9.3. Free ports for art	432
26.9.4. Paying tax with cultural heritage	432
26.9.5. Art cities, sustainable tourism and tax measures	433
26.9.6. Tax incentives for artists and contemporary art	433
26.10. Conclusion	434
<b>List of Contributors</b>	<b>435</b>



---

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Lorenzo del Federico,\* Sigrid Hemels,\*\* José-Andrés Rozas\*\*\*  
and Silvia Giorgi\*\*\*\*

Cultural heritage could be a key driver of socio-economic development, and taxation may play an important role in its enhancement. Nevertheless, internationally, there is no homogenous awareness of such potential. In some countries, the lack of resources to properly protect cultural heritage is the most important issue. Other countries face the problem that even if tax incentives prompt massive funding mechanisms – either public or private – they are not always efficiently structured and targeted.

In June 2019, the Chieti – Pescara University hosted a 2-day conference, where speakers from all over the world shared their views on tax incentives for cultural heritage and presented national experiences regarding measures addressing cultural heritage taxation and promotion. This conference represented the peak of a research project, coordinated by Prof. Lorenzo del Federico, as part of a Project of Relevant National Interest Research, funded for 70% by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (MIUR) and for the remainder by the Universities of Chieti – Pescara, Florence, Venice Ca’ Foscari and Teramo. It was the only Italian project in the field of law selected by the MIUR and recognized to be of valid scientific interest.<sup>1</sup> During the conference, the idea arose of publishing an international book to disseminate the results of the research project to a wider audience. Prof. Sigrid Hemels and Prof. José-Andrés Rozas Valdes actively joined the editorial plan and shared their expertise on the topic as co-editors of the book. Dr Silvia Giorgi was part of the editorial

---

\* Full Professor of Tax Law, University of Chieti – Pescara; Visiting Professor, University of Paris-Est Créteil.

\*\* Full Professor of Tax Law, Erasmus University Rotterdam School of Law; Visiting Professor in Tax Law, Lund University School of Economics and Management; PSL Counsel, Allen & Overy, Amsterdam.

\*\*\* Full Professor of Tax Law, University of Barcelona.

\*\*\*\* PhD, University of Chieti – Pescara.

1. Projects of Significant National Interest (PRIN) are calls for proposals promoted by the Ministry of Universities and Research to allocate funds to research projects in any scientific field. The aim of the PRIN is to fund research projects freely proposed by universities and to encourage interaction between the various players in the national public research system and between them and other public and private, national or international research organizations.

team as a young researcher who had followed the research project from its beginning.

This book contains the papers written in connection with this conference, as well as further input that emerged during this academic exchange. It collects the results of 3 years of research, with a focus on international, European and comparative profiles. It is divided into three parts. In Part 1, international and European frameworks are analysed, with specific reference to the guidelines and constraints stemming from the OECD, UNESCO and European Union (chapters 2-9). In Part 2, selected national experiences in cultural heritage taxation are analysed in order to identify the best practices in the sector (chapters 10-18). Lastly, in the third part, some topical issues are developed, offering specific insight into the main issue of cultural heritage taxation (VAT regime for artwork, museum taxation, free ports for art, transfer in lieu, art cities and artists' tax regimes) (chapters 19-25). The book concludes with a summary of the most important findings (chapter 26).

This book provides an overview of legal frameworks and public policies for targeting tax incentives so as to finance and promote cultural heritage. It gives examples of how this can be done in a systematic and efficient way. Its function is to close the existing gap in international literature on cultural heritage finance and taxation, bringing together experts from different parts of Europe and the world to discuss different approaches and strategies.

Issues, methodologies and guidelines are discussed in chapter 2 by Lorenzo del Federico, who also focuses on the reasons why lawmakers intervene in the cultural sector through subsidies or tax relief.

Against this backdrop, the emphasis falls on the international framework, with special reference to OECD guidance. In chapter 3, Anna Mignosa addresses the main aspects of the role of the OECD regarding policies for culture and cultural heritage in particular, referring to cultural economic theories and explaining the contributions of international organizations to policy diffusion.

The role of UNESCO and the financial crisis it faces is analysed in chapter 4 by Marc Bourgeois, Yassin Hachlaf and Antoine Vandembulke. They answer the question of whether the system set up by the 1972 Convention

concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage<sup>2</sup> can still be considered alive and effective.

The framework of EU policies and constraints follows in chapter 5, in which Edoardo Traversa analyses the EU policies and funding underlying the trend to incentivize private-sector involvement. In chapters 6 and 7, the State aid prohibition is analysed. In chapter 6, Marta Villar Ezcurra discusses whether it is the purpose of State aid regimes to interfere or prevent cultural protection and promotion and to what extent a State aid analysis is needed. In chapter 7, Carlo Eugenio Baldi identifies the uncertain boundary between State aid and non-State aid, analysing European Commission decisions.

In chapter 8, Ricardo García Antón deals with the constitutional traditions of the EU Member States of preserving cultural heritage. Part 1 of the book ends with chapter 9 on international tax treaties and cultural heritage, in which Sigrid Hemels analyses the main model tax conventions and evaluates whether the public policy rationale of confining tax incentives to domestic charities is still valid.

In Part 2 of the book, national experiences are investigated and compared. In each chapter, a similar structure is used, discussing the general context and systematic framework, analysing tax incentives for cultural heritage owners, patrons, museums, art cities and international investors and mentioning best practices.

Janet Milne opens Part 1 with chapter 10 on the United States, with special references to taxable income deductions for charitable contributions (including donations within the cultural sector). In chapter 11, French best practices are presented by Alexandre Maitrot de la Motte. Among them, some recent peculiar developments are the *Loto du patrimoine* (heritage lotto) for raising funds for the Heritage Foundation and monuments considered to be at risk, as well as the “Notre Dame de Paris” project. In chapter 12, Roberto Cordeiro Guerra discusses the Art Bonus for donations to the cultural sector in Italy (an Italian best practice).

In chapter 13, Juan Enrique Varona Alabern shows that there are many tax benefits related to cultural heritage in Spain. These include exemptions from real estate and property tax, reductions in the taxable base in respect

---

2. *UNESCO Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage* (16 Nov. 1972).

of the gift and inheritance tax and deductions from individual and corporate income tax. The Spanish tax system can be considered quite efficient in encouraging taxpayers to carry out actions directed at protecting and promoting cultural heritage.

In chapter 14, Christine Osterloh-Konrad discusses Germany. Among the various German measures, the special deduction of 9% for expenses incurred for (unprofitable) cultural heritage preservation stands out. In chapter 15, Sabine Kanduth-Kristen mentions the Austrian accelerated depreciation as a best practice to encourage private investments in heritage-protected buildings.

In chapter 16, on Sweden, Björn Westberg, Cristina Trenta and Eleonor Kristoffersson underline that, although there are no particular provisions in Swedish tax law regarding cultural heritage, there are essential provisions mainly in income tax and real property assessment law.

Chapter 17 provides a Latin American overview, in which Betty Andrade, Jonathan Barros Vita and José-Andrés Rozas select examples from various Latin American countries. Part 2 of the book ends with chapter 18 by Menita Giusy De Flora, who focuses on Portugal, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

In Part 3 of the book, targeted topics are addressed. In chapter 19, Fabrice Pezet discusses the VAT regime for works of art, in which he analyses the concept of “artwork” for VAT purposes. In chapter 20, Antonio Leo Tarasco and Silvia Giorgi address, respectively, the financial and tax regimes for public and private museums, emphasizing the interdependence between the two and the importance of own income for museums, which is not common in all of the countries analysed in the chapter.

In chapter 21, Caterina Verrigni discusses philanthropy and sponsorship in the cultural sector, taking into account the 2020 OECD report on Taxation and Philanthropy<sup>3</sup> and four specific jurisdictions, namely Australia, Chile, Germany and the United Kingdom. Francesco Montanari, discusses free ports for art in chapter 22.

In chapter 23, Sigrid Hemels analyses various regimes that enable taxpayers to pay their taxes with cultural heritage transfers. In chapter 24, Loris

---

3. OECD, *Taxation and Philanthropy* (OECD 2020), available at <https://www.oecd.org/ctp/taxation-and-philanthropy-df434a77-en.htm> (accessed 10 Nov. 2021).

Tosi and Ernesto-Marco Bagarotto offer an overview of the taxation of art cities, discussing tourist taxes and a case study on Venice. Dick Molenaar examines tax incentives for artists and investments in contemporary art in chapter 25. He explores the rationale behind these incentives and discusses the regimes in Belgium, Ireland, Mexico and the United States.

In chapter 26, Sigrid Hemels and José-Andrés Rozas conclude the book and give an overview of the main findings.





---

## Chapter 2

### Public Finance and Tax Measures for Cultural Heritage: Issues, Methodologies and Guidelines

Lorenzo del Federico\*

#### 2.1. Themes, problems and objectives

Cultural heritage, understood as a complex of cultural, artistic, historical and environmental assets, both tangible and intangible, is valued by many citizens and countries around the world. In the various political, legal, economic and social spheres, there is a convergence of opinions, shared even beyond the different ideological and cultural matrices. Nevertheless, more could be done for cultural heritage, either at the international level, the European level or the domestic level.

UNESCO initiatives are dispersed on various fronts of excessive amplitude, and the policies of the European Union are bogged down on the issue of financial balances and may suffer the negative impact of the so-called “ban on State aid”. The interventions of states are characterized by specific national needs and constant political and financial contingencies.

The great season of public promotion of cultural heritage had its peak in the 1980s, with its best-known (and perhaps excessive) experiences in the United States.<sup>1</sup> However, for a quarter of a century now, most states have been experiencing serious difficulties. They are crushed by the lack of resources and the growing costs of managing and safeguarding cultural heritage, which, in recent years, have been compounded by the welfare crisis, environmental issues and, most recently, the health emergency of COVID-19.

---

\* Full Professor of Tax Law, University of Chieti – Pescara; Visiting Professor, University of Paris-Est Créteil.

1. A.L. Field, M. O’Hare & J.M.D. Schuster, *Patrons Despite Themselves: Tax-payers and Tax Policy* (New York University Press 1983); and M. O’Hare, *Arts policy research for the next 25 years: a trajectory after “Patrons Despite Themselves”*, 32 *Journal of Cultural Economics* 4, p. 281 (2008).

In the national and international debate, attention to cultural heritage has been given a new impulse by the strong appeal and rapid development of the so-called “creative industries”, including, first and foremost, the audio-visual industry.<sup>2</sup> Organic policies and programmes for the development of the economy of culture, centered on the production of culture and on the creative industries, can create a virtuous circuit for all of its different components, including cultural heritage. However, the political, institutional and financial landscape does not seem favourable because of the scarcity of resources and the diversity of the context between cultural heritage (oriented towards non-profit) and creative industries (oriented towards profit).

Another complicating factor in the management of cultural heritage is that it is at times commodified and subordinated to the economy of tourism, which has developed to such an extent that it has given rise to reactions and new policies for sustainable tourism. The case of Venice is emblematic as a UNESCO site and art city endangered by tourist overcrowding.

As far as public finance is concerned, the scarcity of resources obliges governments to identify priorities. This may hamper the rampant pan-culturalism, both at the level of states and within the policies of the European Union and UNESCO. This confusingly tends to place very different cultural phenomena characterized by very different legal, economic and financial connotations on the same level. Pan-culturalism leads to a dispersion of public resources that should be focused on strategic objectives.

Without going into the merits of cultural policy, the underlying option here is clear and distinct. The analysis focuses on the protection and promotion of the core of cultural heritage – understood as tangible heritage (real estate and museums, both public and private) – because of the priority of the preservation of historical heritage and the obvious synergy with the recovery and promotion of the urban context, landscape and environment. Therefore, once this basic choice has been made, it is possible to disregard all of the qualification issues relating to a specific area of cultural heritage *lato sensu* (crafts, folk traditions, theatre, literature, music, audiovisuals, education, sports, etc.).

---

2. R.E. Caves, *Creative industries: Contracts between arts and commerce* (Harvard University Press 2000); and S. Hemels & K. Goto (eds.), *Tax Incentives for the Creative Industries* (Springer 2017).

These are major themes to which UNESCO<sup>3</sup> is committed. These themes are well known to scholars,<sup>4</sup> but here, they can be left aside so as to focus on cultural heritage, understood in its essential dimension (as tangible heritage, i.e. real estate and museums, both public and private). This choice is facilitated by solid national legislation that is quite comprehensive and reliant on these themes in the most important countries of the European Union from the point of view of the European market and cultural pre-eminence, where there are organic laws for the protection of cultural heritage.

The issue is addressed from the point of view of public resources allocated to the protection and promotion of cultural heritage, with reference to both direct funding and indirect funding (i.e. through tax measures).<sup>5</sup> This is certainly not intended to overshadow the side of private resources and the market, but it is clear that public resources play a fundamental role, especially in states where the most significant part of the cultural heritage belongs to the public sphere and is managed by it. Moreover, it is clear that most cultural policies are the expression of public policies and that public policies guide the actions of private individuals. It is rather a matter of creating the most appropriate conditions to encourage private investment in the sector of cultural heritage, both through favourable tax measures and through the proper recognition of patronage and the development of instruments of co-partnership between public and private investments,<sup>6</sup> while at the same time avoiding distortions and safeguarding the public interest and the objectives of public policies.<sup>7</sup>

---

3. See [http://uis.unesco.org/sites/default/files/documents/unesco-framework-for-cultural-statistics-2009-en\\_0.pdf](http://uis.unesco.org/sites/default/files/documents/unesco-framework-for-cultural-statistics-2009-en_0.pdf) (accessed 18 Nov. 2021).

4. D. Throsby, *The economics of cultural policy* (Cambridge University Press 2010); and K. Goto, *Defining Creative Industries*, in S. Hemels & K. Goto (eds.), *Tax Incentives for the Creative Industries* p. 11 (Springer 2017).

5. On quantitative profiles, see A. Klamer, A. Mignosa & L. Petrova, *Cultural Heritage policies: a comparative perspective*, in I. Rizzo & A. Mignosa (eds.), *Handbook on the Economics of Cultural Heritage* p. 44 et seq. (Edward Elgar 2013).

6. See, for example, critically: J.M.D. Schuster, *Tax Incentives in Cultural Policy*, in A. Ginsburg & D. Throsby (eds.), *Handbook of Economics of Art and Culture* p. 1255 (Elsevier 2006); and, more recently, F. Revelli, *Tax incentives for cultural heritage conservation*, in I. Rizzo & A. Mignosa (eds.), *Handbook on the Economics of Cultural Heritage* p. 129 (Edward Elgar 2013). Instead, in a proactive sense, see A. Di Majo, F. Marchetti & P.A. Valentino (eds.), *L'intervento dei privati nella cultura* (Giunti Ed. 2013); and S. Hemels, *Tax Incentives for Museum and Cultural Heritage*, in *Tax Incentives for the Creative Industries* pp. 12 and 107 (S. Hemels & K. Goto eds., Springer 2017).

7. OECD, *Taxation and Philanthropy* (OECD 2020).

From a theoretical point of view, cultural policies fall into four functional categories: (i) cultural heritage preservation; (ii) cultural production; (iii) the destruction of tangible and intangible culture; and (iv) dependence on negligent behaviour.

The neglect and destruction of culture are negative policies, while the preservation and production of culture are policies of a positive nature. From another perspective, destruction, negligence and preservation are all policies that look backward, related both positively and negatively to cultural heritage.

Production is a future-oriented policy: the engine for the development of new cultural industries.<sup>8</sup> Promoting production means creating new expressions of art in their tangible (monuments, museums, archives, libraries and archaeological finds), intangible (music, artwork creation, theatre performance, festivals and landscape) and material (decorative arts and design) forms. Theoretically, the models of preservation and production are not of equal importance. The model of preservation is subordinate to that of production, as without production, future cultural use or consumption is not possible. However, it is clear that the preservation of accumulated heritage is a precondition for good production, or, rather, strategic input to produce new culture. The basic choice is to focus primarily on financial and tax policies for the preservation of cultural heritage, seeking to find the mechanisms to foster the conditions for the desirable inclusion of policies for the production of culture.

The theoretical framework covers (i) the underlying reasons for why states must fund cultural heritage protection; (ii) the correct methodologies for conforming implementation tools to the underlying reasons; and (iii) the merits and demerits of the various implementation-funding tools, comparing subsidies (direct funding) and tax benefits (indirect funding).<sup>9</sup>

---

8. In this sense, see W. Santagata, *La fabbrica della cultura: Ritrovare la creatività per aiutare lo sviluppo del Paese* (il Mulino 2007).

9. The issue is extremely significant. In the European scenario, states have a propensity to use tax measures rather than subsidies. This is especially true when the public policies are related to institutions and subjects outside the public sector. From an empirical point of view, it appears that fiscal aid is more favourable to the beneficiaries than grants are. On these themes, see P. Nicolaides, *Grants versus Fiscal Aid: in Search of Economic Rationality*, 14 EU State Aid Law Quarterly, 3, p. 410 (2015). Even those who criticize the proliferation, ambiguity and sometimes distortion of tax incentives in favour of private individuals who finance art and cultural heritage must admit the force of attraction and driving force (Schuster, *supra* n. 6, at p. 1286 et seq.). Certainly, the more mature approach in favour of tax incentives recognizes their undoubted advan-

From the point of view of public finance, in the context of policies for cultural heritage, the following are relevant: (i) the guidelines and initiatives of UNESCO,<sup>10</sup> the OECD and the European Union;<sup>11</sup> (ii) the adequacy in terms of coordination and coherence of financial and tax policies in domestic legislation; (iii) the types of subsidies and the rationale behind them (financed by general taxation); (iv) types of tax benefits and the rationale behind them; (v) the margins for the development of special taxes; (vi) the financial and tax regimes of the managing bodies; (vii) the financial and fiscal regimes of the forms of co-participation between public and private entities; (viii) the existing European constraints in terms of State aid; (ix) the forms of attraction of international patronage; and (x) the financial and fiscal synergies between sustainable tourism and the use of cultural heritage.

## 2.2. The reasons why states finance cultural heritage

There are various reasons why states finance cultural heritage. The core of the justifications is both political and ideological. Scholars have highlighted specific goals of cultural policies: (i) to promote excellence, innovation and accessibility; (ii) to recognize and celebrate national, regional or local identity; (iii) to safeguard continuity; and (iv) to promote diversity.<sup>12</sup> When these political and ideological justifications take on the nature of juridical values through the elaboration of general principles, constitutional norms, legal norms, etc., a juridical dimension of the protected interests takes shape. However, there are also economic reasons, which have been

---

tages, but on the condition that they meet OECD guidelines: “[A] prerequisite for the effective and efficient use of tax incentives is that [they] are accounted for, controlled and evaluated in the same way as direct subsidies.” See S. Hemels, *Tax Incentives as a Creative Industries Policy Instrument*, in *Tax Incentives for the Creative Industries* p. 33 and 54 (S. Hemels & K. Goto eds., Springer 2017), in line with OECD, *Tax expenditures in OECD countries* (OECD 2010); and OECD, *Recommendation of the Council on budgetary governance* (OECD 2015).

10. UNESCO, *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention* (World Heritage Centre 2017).

11. As for the European Commission’s proposals, they start from “The economy of culture in Europe”, *infra* n. 18 and go to A New European Agenda for Culture (COM(2018) 267 final, *infra* n. 21), approved in 2018. On quantitative profiles, see EUROSTAT, *Culture statistics* (Publications Office of the European Union 2016).

12. D. Throsby, *The economics of cultural policy* pp. 42-45 (Cambridge University Press 2010); F. Benhamou, *Public intervention for cultural heritage: normative issues and tools*, in *Handbook on the Economics of Cultural Heritage* p. 3 et seq. (I. Rizzo & A. Mignosa eds., Edward Elgar 2013); and K. Goto, *Why Do Governments Financially Support the Creative Industries?*, in *Tax Incentives for the Creative Industries* p. 22 (S. Hemels & K. Goto eds., Springer 2017).

extensively investigated with regard to the role of cultural and creative industries,<sup>13</sup> also implicit in the area of cultural heritage protection.

Regarding the search for points of contact between economic studies and legal studies, it revolves around Pigouvian theories on externalities and Baumol's law.<sup>14</sup> Positive or negative externalities are the set of external effects (also known as "external economies" or "external diseconomies") that the activity of a subject has, outside of market transactions, on the production or well-being of other subjects. When the action of a person determines the benefits of others without that person receiving compensation for it, there are positive externalities for these other subjects or for the economy as a whole. When, conversely, the action provokes costs for others (costs that the acting person does not bear), there are negative externalities (external diseconomies).

Externalities can be produced or borne by undertakings or individuals. As a result of negative externalities, the private activity (production/consumption) with which the external diseconomy is associated is pushed to a higher level than is socially efficient (excess production/consumption). In the presence of positive externalities, on the other hand, productive activity is pushed to a lower level (deficit in production/consumption).

The presence of externalities therefore determines a divergence between the private and social aspects of costs and benefits, a phenomenon that is the cause of "market failure", thus making it impossible for a system of perfect competition to spontaneously determine the best allocation of productive resources and the maximum welfare of economic agents.

These issues are typical of environmental economics and law, and they are similarly reflected in cultural heritage economics and law.<sup>15</sup> Consider, for example, a commercial enterprise that, with its technological systems

---

13. Caves, *supra* n. 2; and Goto, *id.*, at pp. 23-30.

14. The reference is to the classic studies of Pigou, which, since the last century, have underlined the role of the state in resolving the problem, taxing the productions that create negative effects or subsidizing those that generate positive ones so as to equalize the marginal social cost with the marginal social benefit; see A.C. Pigou, *The economics of welfare* (Cambridge 1932). For Baumol's law, the so-called "cost disease", see W.J. Baumol & W.G. Bowen, *Performing Arts: The Economic Dilemma* (Cambridge University Press 1966).

15. For the bases of economic theory, see W.J. Baumol, *Application of welfare economics*, in *A Handbook of Cultural Economics* p. 9 (R. Towse ed., Edward Elgar 2011). See also, for an overview, A. Ginsburg & D. Throsby (eds.), *Handbook of Economics of Art and Culture* (Elsevier 2006).

(antennas, exhaust pipes, etc.), disfigures the landscape of a city of art. It does not consider such damage in its costs, but they represent costs for the community, degrading the cultural fabric of the city and weakening the attractiveness for other commercial activities (especially those of a touristic-cultural nature). In this case the social costs may be greater than the private costs. On the other hand, the recovery, maintenance and appropriate productive use of a historical building in a city of art may lead to high costs for its owner (it would be more convenient to localize the activity in a modern and functional district), but realizes a high benefit for the collectivity, enhancing the cultural fabric of the city and favouring the start and development of commercial activities (above all, those of a touristic-cultural nature).

There are also other economically relevant factors. It is sufficient to think of the impact of cultural heritage on the various sectors of the economy, from restaurants to hotels, from tourism to entertainment, from specialized construction to craftsmanship, etc. This is an example of a “multiplier effect”. For example, commercial activities, hotels, restaurants, bars, etc. enjoy positive externalities deriving from museum visitors, but museums do not have the possibility of obtaining compensation from the businesses that enjoy these benefits.

More generally, from the protection of cultural heritage comes the promotion of the national image and “brand”, with the consequent promotion of tourism and exports. With regard specifically to public goods (or collective goods) that are part of the cultural heritage, it is difficult for the market to function optimally, precisely because of the characteristics of these goods. In fact, these are goods for collective use that cannot be individualized, that is, goods that cannot be excluded from free use (non-excludable) and cannot be consumed (non-rival), in the sense that the use of the good by one subject does not reduce the possibility of its use by others. An emblematic example is that of places of value in art cities. Moreover, many goods that are part of cultural heritage are so-called “impure” public goods, which satisfy these two conditions of being non-excludable and non-rival to some extent, but not fully.<sup>16</sup> An example is a cultural heritage site for which an entrance ticket must be bought.

---

16. J. Gruber, *Public finance and public policy* p. 192 (Worth Publishers 2016).

Baumol's law (so-called "cost disease")<sup>17</sup> states that the unit cost of labour increases more in sectors with low productivity growth (such as art and culture) than in those with high productivity growth (such as technologically advanced industries). Through redistributive public intervention, the former group of sectors is supported with resources from the latter group. Classic examples are restoration, traditional museum services, live music and theatre.

These are reasons why states finance the protection of cultural heritage. This book studies their relevance to the constitutional principles of public finance and taxation in order to verify the legitimacy and rationality of legislative interventions and the consistency in their concrete application.

### **2.3. The policies of the European Union**

European integration has reached a substantial and inescapable dimension. The policies of the European Union assume a fundamental role for all Member States,<sup>18</sup> which, at the same time, must comply with UNESCO and OECD guidelines. National financial and tax policies must be in line with the European and international framework. This includes the EU fundamental freedoms and constraints on State aid.

Since the adoption of the European Agenda for Culture in 2007, cultural heritage has assumed an increasing role in the action of all European institutions. In the context of the Europe 2020 strategy, aimed at promoting new sources of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, the Commission published, in 2010, the Green Paper on Unlocking the potential of cultural and creative industries.<sup>19</sup> This Green Paper provided a list of typical expressions of the sector, such as artistic and monumental heritage, archives, libraries, books and press, visual arts, architecture, performing arts, audio and audiovisual media/multimedia, and outlined the functions that these businesses should take on: preservation, creation, production, dissemination, trade/sales and education.

---

17. W.J. Baumol & W.G. Bowen, *Performing Arts: The Economic Dilemma* (MIT Press 1996).

18. European Commission, *The economy of culture in Europe* (2006).

19. European Commission, Green Paper: Unlocking the potential of cultural and creative industries, COM(2010) 183 final (27 Apr. 2010), available at <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/1cb6f484-074b-4913-87b3-344ccf020eef/language-en> (accessed 18 Nov. 2021).



---

## List of Contributors

### Editors

**Lorenzo del Federico** is Full Professor of Tax Law at the University of Chieti – Pescara, Italy, and Visiting Professor at the University of Paris-Est Créteil, France. Previously, he was a Visiting Professor at the University of Cantabria, Spain, and at IBFD, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. He has published over 300 articles and four books. He is also a member of the scientific committees of national and international journals and has directed several research projects, both at a national and a European level.

**Sigrid Hemels** is Full Professor of Tax Law at Erasmus University Rotterdam School of Law, the Netherlands, Visiting Professor in Tax Law at Lund University School of Economics and Management, Sweden, and, until 1 June 2022, PSL Counsel at Allen & Overy, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. As of 1 June 2022, she is State Councillor at the Dutch Council of State. She is renowned for her research on tax incentives for art, culture and charities. She also publishes and lectures, both nationally and internationally, on other tax-related subjects, such as European tax law and gender equality in taxation. She is a member of the academic committee of the EATLP and writes a monthly column on tax in a societal context in the Dutch financial newspaper *Het Financieele Dagblad*.

**José-Andrés Rozas**, PhD in Law from the Complutense University of Madrid, Spain, is Full Professor of Tax Law at the University of Barcelona, Spain. He has spent several research periods in Italy, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and the United States, and has published more than 200 articles in national and international periodicals and four books. He has taught courses at different universities and other academic and professional institutions. He worked as an academic manager for the Abat Oliba CEU University in Barcelona, where he was Vice-Chancellor of Studies and Dean of the Social Sciences School (2005-2011). He has been a member of the Board for Taxpayers' Rights (Spanish Ministry of Finance) since 2018, of the EATLP since 1998 and of the Spanish Association of Tax Law since 2000.

**Silvia Giorgi** has a PhD in Tax Law from the University of Chieti – Pescara, Italy. Her main topic of research involves business taxation and environmental taxation. She has been a Postdoctoral Research Fellow and Lecturer in the taxation field both in PhD courses and university classes.

She has been a member of many international research projects on cultural heritage and environmental taxation and has attended several international and national conferences on these topics. Her publications have appeared in peer-reviewed, Italian scientific journals and international books, and she published a book on intangible assets in business taxation in 2020 (in Italian). She is also editor of several A-ranked Italian scientific journals.

### Authors

**Betty Andrade** is Senior Research Associate at IBFD, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

**Ernesto-Marco Bagarotto** is Assistant Professor of Tax Law at the University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Italy.

**Carlo Eugenio Baldi** is Professor of European Law at the University of Bologna, Italy, and expert in the field of State aid, with special reference to the cultural sector.

**Marc Bourgeois** is Full Professor of Tax Law and Public Finance at the University of Liège, Belgium. He is also founder and co-director of the Tax Institute of the University of Liège.

**Roberto Cordeiro Guerra** is Full Professor of Tax Law at the University of Florence, Italy.

**Menita Giusy De Flora** is Assistant Professor at the University of Salerno, Italy.

**Ricardo García Antón** is Assistant Professor of European and International Tax Law at Tilburg University (Fiscal Institute Tilburg), the Netherlands.

**Yassin Hachlaf** is a lawyer specialized in public and administrative law and was previously a researcher at the Tax Institute of the University of Liège, Belgium.

**Sabine Kanduth-Kristen**, LL.M., is Associate Professor of Tax Law at the University of Klagenfurt, Austria.

**Eleonor Kristoffersson** is Professor of Tax Law at Örebro University, Sweden. She is also Visiting Professor at Linköping University and Gävle University, Sweden.

**Alexandre Maitrot de la Motte** is Full Professor at the Faculty of Law of the University of Paris-Est Créteil, France, and President of the Communities of universities and institutions (ComUE) of the University of Paris-Est. He is also the director of the master's programme *Droit Fiscal, spécialité fiscalité appliquée* and scientific director of the *Revue de Droit Fiscal* and the *Juris-classeur Fiscal International*.

**Anna Mignosa** is Assistant Professor at the University of Catania, Italy, and at Erasmus University Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

**Janet E. Milne** is Professor of Law and Director of the Environmental Tax Policy Institute at Vermont Law School, United States, where she has taught environmental taxation since 1994.

**Dick Molenaar** is Partner at All Arts Tax Advisers in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, and guest researcher at the Erasmus University Rotterdam School of Law.

**Francesco Montanari** is Full Professor of Tax Law at the University of Chieti – Pescara, Italy.

**Christine Osterloh-Konrad** is Full Professor of Tax Law at the University of Tübingen, Germany.

**Fabrice Pezet** is Full Professor at the Faculty of Law of the University of Paris-Est Créteil, France.

**Antonio Leo Tarasco** is Director of the Italian Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Tourism.

**Loris Tosi** is Full Professor of Tax Law at the Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Italy.

**Edoardo Traversa** is Full Professor of Tax Law and European Law at the Faculty of Law and Criminology and the School of European Studies at the Catholic University of Louvain (UC Louvain), Belgium.

**Cristina Trenta** is Associate Professor of Tax Law at Örebro University, Sweden. She has previous long-standing professional experience as a tax court litigator for the Italian tax administration.

**Antoine Vandebulke** is Associate Professor of Law at the University of Mons, Belgium. Previously, he was a researcher in the Economic Law and Legal Theory Department of the University of Liège, Belgium.

**Juan Enrique Varona Alabern** is Full Professor of Financial and Tax Law at the University of Cantabria in Santander, Spain.

**Caterina Verrigni** is Associate Professor of Tax Law at the University of Chieti – Pescara, Italy.

**Marta Villar Ezcurra** is Full Professor of Financial and Tax Law at the San Pablo CEU University in Madrid, Spain, and a lawyer practising European and tax law in Madrid.

**Jonathan Barros Vita** is Full Professor and Director of the master's and PhD programmes at UNIMAR, University of Marília, Brazil.

**Björn Westberg**, Doctor of Laws, is Professor Emeritus at the International Business School at the Jönköping University, Sweden.







## Contact

IBFD Head Office  
Rietlandpark 301  
1019 DW Amsterdam  
P.O. Box 20237  
1000 HE Amsterdam  
The Netherlands

**Tel.:** +31-20-554 0100 (GMT+1)

**Email:** [info@ibfd.org](mailto:info@ibfd.org)

**Web:** [www.ibfd.org](http://www.ibfd.org)



IBFD, Your Portal to Cross-Border Tax Expertise